

Diagnosis and Work-up of Malignant Melanoma in the Age of Fine Needle Aspiration and Molecular Testing

Lester J Layfield, MD

Professor and Chair, Department of Pathology & Anatomical Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, US

Abstract

Melanoma is one of the most aggressive skin cancers and is prone to both local recurrence and distant metastases. Primary treatment usually includes wide excision and sentinel lymph node biopsy. Clinical and pathologic staging is important for estimating the potential for metastasis and influences post-resection follow-up protocols. Because stage I and II melanomas metastasize infrequently, asymptomatic patients with these low-stage malignancies do not require routine imaging studies. Patients with positive sentinel lymph nodes often undergo routine examination (for staging) using computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or positron emission tomography (PET) despite evidence showing a very low yield for such testing. Patients with stage III disease and local recurrence should undergo further testing including serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), chest radiograph, CT, and PET due to increased risk for systemic metastases. Imaging abnormalities may undergo fine needle aspiration, core biopsy, or open biopsy for confirmation of diagnosis and to obtain tissue for ancillary studies. Depending on the availability of treatment protocols ancillary testing may include mutational analysis for BRAF V600-E, CKIT, and NRAS.

Keywords

Melanoma, metastases, biopsy, imaging, FNA

Disclosure: The author has no conflicts of interests to declare.

Received: December 23, 2013 **Accepted:** March 12, 2014 **Citation:** *Oncology & Hematology Review*, 2014;10(1):21–4

Correspondence: Lester J Layfield, MD, Professor and Chair, Department of Pathology & Anatomical Sciences, University of Missouri, One Hospital Drive, M263 Medical Sciences Building, Columbia, MO 65212, US. E: layfieldl@health.missouri.edu

Malignant melanoma is an aggressive form of skin cancer and has demonstrated an increasing mortality among men in the last quarter century.¹ The 5-year survival rate is approximately 15–20 % for stage IV melanoma.² Surgical management of primary melanoma remains wide excision with or without the addition of sentinel lymph node biopsy. While 5-year survival for stage I and II melanomas is good, outcome for stage IV melanoma remains bleak. Recently, a number of directed therapies have been developed for treatment of metastatic malignant melanoma. Many of these are predicated on the presence of specific mutations sensitizing the malignant cells to specific chemotherapeutic agents. Molecular techniques are required to document the specific mutations, thus tissue from metastatic sites is needed to guide selection of specific therapies. Given that specific therapies with efficacy against metastatic disease now exist, the early recognition of distant metastases by imaging modalities and their biopsy have become important in the management of stage III and IV melanoma patients. Herein, we review blood marker testing, imaging methods and indications, biopsy techniques, and ancillary testing for directed therapies in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma. The current review is limited to cutaneous melanoma and its metastases.

Clinical History and Initial Physical Examination

Following the identification of a clinically suspicious pigmented lesion, the patient should have a focused medical history taken documenting

the presence of any family history of melanoma or other skin cancer as well as whether or not there is a family history of multiple, irregular, or prominent moles. The history should also include documentation of the presence or absence of pancreatic ductal carcinoma or astrocytoma within the family. The patient should be specifically questioned about a history of prior personal melanomas. A history of significant prior sun exposure should be documented. The patient should be asked about changes they have noted in other moles specifically regarding alterations in size, color, shape, or the presence of bleeding or ulceration. A personal history or family history of multiple nevus syndrome should be queried.

Physical examination should include a total body skin examination with photography of nevi and other suspicious cutaneous lesions. This total body skin examination is performed to assess the number of nevi present and to distinguish between typical and atypical lesions.

Biopsy of Primary Lesion and Histologic Examination

The index atypical pigmented lesion should be excised in total if possible. Shave biopsies are suboptimal specimens as they may preclude accurate evaluation of depth of invasion and assessment of architectural features

Table 1: Clark's Level for Cutaneous Melanoma

Level	Morphologic Description
Level I	All tumor cells above basement membrane (<i>in situ</i>)
Level II	Tumor extends into papillary dermis
Level III	Tumor extends to interface between papillary and reticular dermis
Level IV	Tumor extends between bundles of collagen of reticular dermis (extends into reticular dermis)
Level V	Tumor invasion of subcutaneous tissue

Table 2: Breslow's Thickness for Cutaneous Melanoma

Prognostic Thickness Category
Less than or equal to 0.75 mm
0.76–1.5 mm
1.51–4 mm
Greater than or equal to 4 mm

Table 3: T Classification for Cutaneous Melanoma

T Classification	Thickness
TX	Primary tumor cannot be assessed (shave biopsy, regressed primary)
Tis	Melanoma <i>in situ</i>
T1	≤1.0 mm (a: without ulceration; b: with ulceration)
T2	1.01–2.0 mm (a: without ulceration; b: with ulceration)
T3	2.01–4.0 mm (a: without ulceration; b: with ulceration)
T4	>4.0 mm (a: without ulceration; b: with ulceration)

that are important for diagnosis. Excisional biopsies are recommended because they allow the evaluation of junctional activity at the edges of the lesion and the deep margin. Such assessment also aids in the diagnosis of melanoma because it allows evaluation of lesional symmetry.

Histopathologic evaluation is performed and expert consultation is desirable for difficult lesions. The College of American Pathologists synoptic report should be added to the diagnostic report. This synoptic checklist should include a statement as to the type of melanoma (superficial spreading, lentigo maligna melanoma, acral lentiginous, nodular, or other types) present. Clark's level of invasion and Breslow thickness should be given. The thickness should be measured with the aid of a micrometer. The checklist should also include a statement on the lymphoid host response at the base of the lesion being designated as none/minimal, mild (non-brisk), marked (brisk), or other. Associated lesions should be documented. The presence or absence of ulceration should be documented as well as the mitotic index (rate). Angiolymphatic invasion should be documented as present or absent. The presence of regression should be described as well as satellitosis, if present. Operative margins need to be evaluated. The presence or absence of involvement of peripheral margins should be documented and the distance between the melanoma and margin stated for uninvolved peripheral margins. Similarly, the status of the deep margin should be documented and when negative, the distance between the melanoma and the deep margin stated.

Both Clark's level and Breslow thickness have prognostic value.^{3–5} Many experts in dermatopathology believe that both should be reported.

Determination of Breslow thickness requires a micrometer while Clark's level does not and may be the easier measurement to determine. *Tables 1 and 2* describe Clark's level and Breslow thickness cutpoints.

Following a histopathologic diagnosis of melanoma, re-excision should generally be performed. Elective lymph node dissection for patients with clinically enlarged lymph nodes should be undertaken. When lymph nodes are clinically within normal limits, sentinel lymph node biopsy is often performed for staging purposes.^{6–8} Dynamic lymphoscintigraphy is used for localization of sentinel lymph nodes.^{9,10} Sentinel lymph nodes histopathologic examination may include either microscopic examination of multiple hematoxylin and eosin stain (H&E) stained levels or the use of immunohistochemistry for s100 protein, melanin-A, and, perhaps, HMB-45.^{11,12} Subsequent therapy is based upon status of the sentinel lymph nodes and the thickness of the primary melanoma.

Histopathologic Staging

Histopathologic staging involves assessment of Clark's level and Breslow thickness as defined in *Tables 1 and 2*. The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) has proposed a TNM system for staging melanoma.¹³ T classification is based on thickness and the presence of ulceration as shown in *Table 3*.

Imaging Techniques and Indications

Traditionally, imaging studies are performed in patients with recently diagnosed melanoma. While low-cost studies such as chest radiographs are useful in establishing a baseline, more-expensive imaging techniques may not be cost-effective.¹⁴ Few guidelines exist that define appropriate testing protocols for initial evaluation and follow-up of patients with melanoma.¹⁵ Malignant melanoma has a propensity to metastasize widely with common sites for deposits of melanoma being skin, lymph nodes, liver, bone, lung, gastrointestinal tract, and brain. Multi-institutional studies have shown that ultrasound (US) is the superior technique for documentation of metastases to sentinel lymph nodes while positron emission tomography (PET) and computed tomography (CT) appear to be the best methods for identifying metastases at other sites.¹⁶ Current American Academy of Dermatology guidelines do not recommend baseline testing in asymptomatic patients with stage 1a–2c cutaneous melanoma.¹⁷ Similarly, guidelines proposed by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) indicate that no laboratory testing or baseline imaging studies should be obtained in asymptomatic patients with stage IA, IB, or IIA melanomas. Chest radiographs are optional for stage IIB and IIC patients.¹⁸ The NCCN guidelines support the concept that most melanoma recurrences are initially recognized clinically. Imaging studies are indicated for confirmation of clinically suspected metastatic disease and potentially in patients with stage IIB to IV disease.¹⁸ Scheduling of follow-up imaging studies for patients with thick melanomas or after treatment for metastatic disease is controversial and definitive guidelines do not exist. Patients with positive sentinel lymph nodes often undergo extensive imaging studies either before or after completion lymphadenectomy or when being considered for further therapy.¹⁵ Despite the widespread use of such testing in patients with only a positive sentinel lymph node, the yield of such studies is small bringing into question their utility.^{19–21} Patients with stage III disease with locoregional melanoma should have further imaging studies including CT, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or PET due to their relatively high (50 %) risk for systemic disease. CT

examination should include the chest and abdomen/pelvis.²²⁻²⁴ PET-CT is the dominant imaging technique for evaluation of patients with high-stage disease.²⁵ PET-CT has considerable advantages including: 1) higher sensitivity in comparison to CT and 2) gives whole-body coverage in one examination possibly eliminating the need for other tests. Patients with known stage IV disease should undergo comprehensive imaging evaluation to detect additional sites of involvement.¹⁵

Laboratory Studies

Surveillance laboratory studies appear unnecessary for low-stage disease (stages IA, IB, and IIA). Serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels have been recommended as part of follow-up for patients with distant metastatic disease. Elevated LDH levels may indicate a worse prognosis.¹⁸

Biopsy of Suspected Metastatic Disease

Biopsy of suspected metastatic disease is performed for two reasons. First, biopsy may be obtained to confirm the clinical and/or imaging impression of metastatic disease. Second, when targeted therapies are being considered, mutational analysis for the presence of BRAF and CKIT mutations is often performed and requires lesional tissue. Biopsy specimens suitable for mutational analysis include core needle biopsies, fine needle aspirates (cell block preparations), and material from incisional and excisional biopsies. Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded tissue is currently the most widely used specimen type.

Diagnostic biopsies may be performed for evaluation of regional lymphadenopathy or for the confirmation of the presence of distant metastatic disease. Currently, evaluation of sentinel lymph nodes is considered standard of care. Traditionally, this evaluation has been performed by excisional biopsy but some investigators have evaluated the use of fine needle aspiration (FNA) in this setting. The low-cost, rapid turnaround time and very low morbidity of FNA in comparison to excisional biopsy makes FNA a desirable alternative for the evaluation of sentinel lymph nodes. Several investigators have studied the utility of FNA for the evaluation of sentinel lymph nodes.²⁶⁻³⁰ Detection rates for melanoma in sentinel lymph nodes by FNA have been reported to be 24.3 %, ²⁸ 33.8 %, ³⁰ and 65 %.²⁹ Thus, FNA is not reliable. Current data indicate that FNA is not a replacement for excisional biopsy in the evaluation of sentinel lymph nodes.²⁸⁻³⁰ FNA has been used successfully for the evaluation of clinically suspicious regional lymphadenopathy.³¹

Biopsy of newly discovered lymphadenopathy or a mass lesion in a patient without a known primary or in a patient with multiple primaries requires histologic and often immunohistochemical evaluation to determine the primary site for the metastatic lesion. Malignant melanoma is known to display many morphologic appearances and simple H&E morphologic evaluation may be insufficient. A number of antibodies exist that are useful in the differential diagnosis. Site of the deposit under investigation aids in selection of the antibody panel utilized. Antibody panels directed against all members of the differential diagnosis are most helpful and should include both antigens expected to be present as well as absent in the important members of the differential diagnosis. When melanoma is suspected, the most useful markers for the establishment of a diagnosis of malignant melanoma are s-100 protein, HMB-45, tyrosinase (MAT-1), and MART-1 (melan-A).

These markers when used in combination with markers for other epitheloid (AE1,3, epithelial membrane antigen, CEA, and CAM 5.2) or spindle cell (desmin, actin, and caldesmon) lesions are extremely helpful in establishing a diagnosis of melanoma. The combined use of immunohistochemistry for HMB-45, S-100 protein, and MART-1 has a sensitivity of over 95 %.³²⁻³³ MART-1 staining has a better sensitivity than HMB-45 and superior specificity than S-100 protein staining.³⁴ Since less than 3 % of melanomas stain for keratin, immunohistochemical staining for keratins (AE1,3 and CAM5.2) is of limited diagnostic value.

At the present time, molecular diagnostic techniques are helpful as predictive markers for targeted therapy, but do not play a significant role in the diagnosis of primary or metastatic cutaneous malignant melanoma. As a final point, both primary and metastatic malignant melanoma may show mutational and immunohistochemical heterogeneity.³⁵ BRAF mutations have been shown to demonstrate heterogeneity between metastases with therapeutic implications.³⁶ Immunohistochemical heterogeneity has been shown to exist for BRAF (V600E) in primary and metastatic melanoma.³⁷ Similar heterogeneity is seen for promoter hypermethylation.³⁸

The selection of a biopsy technique for a clinically or imaging suspicious lesion is not a trivial decision. Selection of the optimal technique should consider lesion location, size of lesion, proximity to vital structures, health of the patient, cost, and diagnostic accuracy of the biopsy method. FNA can sample lesions at a wide variety of body sites and is minimally invasive and unlikely to contaminate the tumor bed or needle track. While a few needle track implantations have been documented, most have occurred after biopsy with larger gauge needles.³⁹⁻⁴³ Complications associated with FNA are few and mostly related to local hemorrhage or pneumothorax (lung metastases). The major questions regarding sampling by FNA involve diagnostic accuracy and whether or not sufficient tissue can be obtained for ancillary studies.

Diagnostic accuracy of FNA for melanoma has been well studied.³¹ Over 970 studies have investigated the diagnostic accuracy of FNA for the diagnosis of metastatic melanoma.³¹ In pooled data, the sensitivity is 0.97 and the specificity is 0.99. These results compare favorably with those obtained by core biopsy and are not significantly inferior to those achievable by open biopsy. From these data it appears that FNA should be the first-line option in a patient clinically suspected of having metastatic melanoma.³¹

Treatment options for stage IV melanoma are limited. Recently, targeted therapies have been developed that appear to improve prognosis for patients with high-stage melanoma. Specific therapeutic agents have been developed for treatment of melanomas harboring BRAF or KIT mutations.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁸ The efficacy of these targeted therapies appears to be determined by the presence of specific gene mutations. Most treatment protocols utilizing these agents require tissue confirmation of the presence of the sensitizing mutation. Few studies have been performed documenting the effectiveness of FNA for obtaining sufficient tissue, but in the author's experience FNA has been a successful technique in obtaining sufficient tissue for those ancillary molecular tests. Techniques obtaining larger specimens are also highly successful in procuring adequate tissue. ■

- Dummer R, Hauschild A, Pentheroudakis G, Cutaneous malignant melanoma: ESMO clinical recommendations for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up, *Ann Oncol*, 2009;20(Suppl. 4):129–31
- Bhatia S, Tykodi SS, Thompson JA, Treatment of metastatic melanoma: an overview, *Oncology (Williston Park)*, 2009;23:488–96.
- Breslow A, Cascinelli N, van der Esch EP, Morabito A, Stage I melanoma of the limbs: assessment of prognosis by levels of invasion and maximum thickness, *Tumori*, 1978;64:273–84.
- Breslow A, Thickness, cross-sectional areas and depth of invasion in the prognosis of cutaneous melanoma, *Ann Surg*, 1970;172:902–8.
- Büttner P, Garbe C, Bertz J, et al., Primary cutaneous melanoma. Optimized cutoff points of tumor thickness and importance of Clark's level for prognostic classification, *Cancer*, 1995;75:2499–506.
- Gershenwald JE, Thompson W, Mansfield PF, et al., Multi-institutional melanoma lymphatic mapping experience: the prognostic value of sentinel lymph node status in 612 stage I or II melanoma patients, *J Clin Oncol*, 1999;17:976–83.
- Hauschild A, Christophers E, Sentinel node biopsy in melanoma, *Virchows Arch*, 2001;438:99–106.
- Kanzler MH, Sentinel node biopsy and standard of care for melanoma: a re-evaluation of the evidence, *J Am Acad Dermatol*, 2010;62:880–4.
- Gennari R, Bartolomei M, Testori A, et al., Sentinel node localization in primary melanoma: preoperative dynamic lymphoscintigraphy, intraoperative gamma probe, and vital dye guidance, *Surgery*, 2000;127:19–25.
- Czerniecki BJ, Bedrosian I, Faries M, Alavi A, Revolutionary impact of lymphoscintigraphy and intraoperative sentinel node mapping in the clinical practice of oncology, *Semin Nucl Med*, 2001;31:158–64.
- Lawrence WD, Association of Directors of Anatomic and Surgical Pathology. ADASP recommendations for processing and reporting of lymph node specimens submitted for evaluation of metastatic disease, *Virchows Arch*, 2001;439:601–3.
- Baisden BL, Askin FB, Lange JR, Westra WH, HMB-45 immunohistochemical staining of sentinel lymph nodes: a specific method for enhancing detection of micrometastases in patients with melanoma, *Am J Surg Pathol*, 2000;24:1140–6.
- American Joint Committee on Cancer, *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*. 7th ed. New York, NY: Springer, 2010.
- Sabel MS, Wong SL, Review of evidence-based support for pretreatment imaging in melanoma, *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*, 2009;7:281–9.
- Buzaid AC, Gershenwald JE, Staging work-up and surveillance after treatment of melanoma. Available at: <http://www.uptodate.com/contents/staging-work-up-and-surveillance-after-treatment-of-melanoma> (accessed March 28, 2014).
- Xing Y, Bronstein Y, Ross MI, et al., Contemporary diagnostic imaging modalities for the staging and surveillance of melanoma patients: a meta-analysis, *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 2011;103:129–42.
- Bichakjian CK, Halpern AC, Johnson TM, et al., American Academy of Dermatology. Guidelines of care for the management of primary cutaneous melanoma. American Academy of Dermatology, *J Am Acad Dermatol*, 2011;65:1032–47.
- Swetter SM, Cutaneous melanoma workup. Available at: <http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1100753-workup> (accessed April 12, 2013).
- Aloia TA, Gershenwald JE, Andtbacka RH, Johnson MM, et al., Utility of computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging staging before completion lymphadenectomy in patients with sentinel lymph node-positive melanoma, *J Clin Oncol*, 2006;24:2858–65.
- Miranda EP, Gertner M, Wall J, et al., Routine imaging of asymptomatic melanoma patients with metastasis to sentinel lymph nodes rarely identifies systemic disease, *Arch Surg*, 2004;139:831–6; discussion 836–7.
- Gold JS, Jaques DP, Busam KJ, et al., Yield and predictors of radiologic studies for identifying distant metastases in melanoma patients with a positive sentinel lymph node biopsy, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2007;14:2133–40.
- Buzaid AC, Tinoco L, Ross MI, et al., Role of computed tomography in the staging of patients with local-regional metastases of melanoma, *J Clin Oncol*, 1995;13:2104–8.
- Kuvshinov BW, Kurtz C, Coit DG, Computed tomography in evaluation of patients with stage III melanoma, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 1997;4:252–8.
- Johnson TM, Fader DJ, Chang AE, et al., Computed tomography in staging of patients with melanoma metastatic to the regional nodes, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 1997;4:396–402.
- Bronstein Y, Ng CS, Rohrer E, et al., PET/CT in the management of patients with stage IIIC and IV metastatic melanoma considered candidates for surgery: evaluation of the additive value after conventional imaging, *AJR Am J Roentgenol*, 2012;902–8.
- Voit C, Kron M, Schäfer G, et al., Ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration cytology prior to sentinel lymph node biopsy in melanoma patients, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2006;13:1682–9.
- van Rijk MC, Teertstra HJ, Peterse JL, et al., Ultrasonography and fine-needle aspiration cytology in the preoperative evaluation of melanoma patients eligible for sentinel node biopsy, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2006;13:1511–6.
- Sanki A, Uren RF, Moncrieff M, et al., Targeted high-resolution ultrasound is not an effective substitute for sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with primary cutaneous melanoma, *J Clin Oncol*, 2009;27:5614–9.
- Voit CA, van Akkooi AC, Schäfer-Hesterberg G, et al., Rotterdam Criteria for sentinel node (SN) tumor burden and the accuracy of ultrasound (US)-guided fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC): can US-guided FNAC replace SN staging in patients with melanoma?, *J Clin Oncol*, 2009;27:4994–5000.
- Chai CY, Zager JS, Szabunio MM, et al., Preoperative ultrasound is not useful for identifying nodal metastasis in melanoma patients undergoing sentinel node biopsy: preoperative ultrasound in clinically node-negative melanoma, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2012;19:1100–6.
- Hall BJ, Schmidt RL, Sharma RR, Layfield LJ, Fine-needle aspiration cytology for the diagnosis of metastatic melanoma: systematic review and meta-analysis, *Am J Clin Pathol*, 2013;140:635–42.
- Fernando SS, Johnson S, Bäte J, Immunohistochemical analysis of cutaneous malignant melanoma: comparison of S-100 protein, HMB-45 monoclonal antibody and NKI/C3 monoclonal antibody, *Pathology*, 1994;26:16–9.
- Smoller BR, Immunohistochemistry in the diagnosis of melanocytic neoplasms, *Pathology*, 1994;2:371–83.
- Orchard GE, Comparison of immunohistochemical labelling of melanocyte differentiation antibodies melan-A, tyrosinase and HMB 45 with NKI/C3 and S100 protein in the evaluation of benign naevi and malignant melanoma, *Histochem J*, 2000;32:475–81.
- Anaka M, Hudson C, Lo PH, et al., Intratumoral genetic heterogeneity in metastatic melanoma is accompanied by variation in malignant behaviors, *BMC Med Genomics*, 2013;6:40.
- Heinzelinger L, Baiteir M, Kühnappel S, et al., Mutation landscape in melanoma patients clinical implications of heterogeneity of BRAF mutations, *Br J Cancer*, 2013;109:2833–41.
- Busam KJ, Hedvat C, Pultizer M, et al., Immunohistochemical analysis of BRAF(V600E) expression of primary and metastatic melanoma and comparison with mutation status and melanocyte differentiation antigens of metastatic lesions, *Am J Surg Pathol*, 2013;37:413–20.
- Rastetter M, Schagdarsurengin U, Lahtz C, et al., Frequent intra-tumoural heterogeneity of promoter hypermethylation in malignant melanoma, *Histol Histopathol*, 2007;22:1005–15.
- Shiino K, Sakamoto K, Yamanaka K, Sekido H, Needle tract implantation of hepatocellular carcinoma after sonographically guided percutaneous needle biopsy, *Kyobu Geka*, 2010;63:388–91.
- Doi S, Yasuda I, Iwashita T, et al., Needle tract implantation on the esophageal wall after EUS-guided FNA of metastatic mediastinal lymphadenopathy, *Gastrointest Endosc*, 2008;67:988–90.
- Vignoli M, Rossi F, Chierici C, et al., Needle tract implantation after fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) of transitional cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder and adenocarcinoma of the lung, *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd*, 2007;149:314–8.
- Voravud N, Shin DM, Dekmezian RH, et al., Implantation metastasis of carcinoma after percutaneous fine-needle aspiration biopsy, *Chest*, 1992;102:313–5.
- Glassgow BJ, Brown HH, Zargoza AM, Fooks RY, Quantitation of tumor seeding from fine needle aspiration of ocular melanomas, *Am J Ophthalmol*, 1988;105:538–46.
- Bradish JR, Montironi R, Lopez-Beltran A, et al., Towards personalized therapy for patients with malignant melanoma: molecular insights into the biology of BRAF mutations, *Future Oncol*, 2013;9:245–53.
- Ravnan MC, Matalaka MS, Vemurafenib in patients with BRAF V600E mutation-positive advanced melanoma, *Clin Ther*, 2012;34:1474–86.
- Menzies AM, Long GV, Murali R, Dabrafenib and its potential for the treatment of metastatic melanoma, *Drug Des Devel Ther*, 2012;6:391–405.
- Zebary A, Omholt K, Vasilaki I, et al., KIT, NRAS, BRAF and PTEN mutations in a sample of Swedish patients with acral lentiginous melanoma, *J Dermatol Sci*, 2013;72:284–9.
- Santini D, Vincenzi B, Venditti O, Dell'Aquila E, et al., Sunitinib in malignant melanoma: a treatment option only for KIT-mutated patients? *Future Oncol*, 2013;9:1809–11.